

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/697,752	BERGMAN ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Thuy Dao	2192

**All Participants:**

(1) Mr. Shifren, David E. (Reg. No. 59,329).

(2) Thuy Dao.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Mr. Lewis, William E. (Reg. No. 39,274).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 June 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*35 USC 102(b) and 103(a)*

**Claims discussed:**

*29, 33, 36, and 37.*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*Wolfman (Mixed Initiative Interfaces for Learning Tasks) and Huang (US 2004/0078682 A1)*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Shifren and Mr. Lewis accepted proposed examiner's amendments to merge dependent claim 33 into independent claims 29, 36, and 37 to put the case in condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Thuy Dao/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2192

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)